IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

MARK A. WOOD, : Case No. 3:11-CV-32

Plaintiff, District Judge Thomas M. Rose

Magistrate Judge Michael J. Newman

V.

PHIL PLUMMER, et al.,

Defendants. :

ORDER TO PRO SE PLAINTIFF

This matter is before the Court *sua sponte*. Two motions for summary judgment have been filed in this case -- by Defendants Dr. Jane Doe and Nurse Theresa on August 10, 2012 (doc. 36); and by Defendants Robert Rosenkranz, Holly Rudd, James Davis, Jr., and Debra Matheney on September 14, 2012 (doc. 39). To date, *pro se* Plaintiff has not filed any responsive memoranda to those motions. Although the deadline for filing a memorandum in opposition has passed, in the interest of justice, **the Court affords Plaintiff until December 24, 2012 to file a memorandum in opposition to Defendants' summary judgment motions.** Plaintiff is **ADVISED** that the summary judgment motions are dispositive motions. Accordingly, his failure to properly and timely respond to them may be cause for the Court to grant summary judgment against his claims. Plaintiff is instructed to read and comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

December 14, 2012

s/ Michael J. Newman United States Magistrate Judge